

Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions Using Phosphine Nucleophiles: An Introduction to Phosphorus-31 NMR

Paul A. Sibbald*

Department of Chemistry, Stetson University, DeLand, Florida 32723, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is commonly used in modern synthetic chemistry to monitor the conversion of reactants to products. Since instruction in the use of NMR spectroscopy typically does not occur until after the introduction of nucleophilic substitution reactions, organic chemistry students are not able to



take advantage of this technique to measure and quantify important concepts such as relative electrophile and nucleophile reactivity. A phosphorus-31 (³¹P) NMR spectroscopy experiment is reported that allows undergraduate students to monitor product formation for a number of different alkyl halide electrophiles and phosphorus nucleophiles. In this experiment, students are able to use reactant-to-product ratios obtained by simple ³¹P NMR spectroscopy to explore the relative reactivities of these compounds. This exercise serves as an introduction to both NMR spectroscopy and the specific advantages of heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy.

KEYWORDS: Second-Year Undergraduate, Laboratory Instruction, Organic Chemistry, Collaborative/Cooperative Learning, Hands-On Learning/Manipulatives, Inquiry-Based/Discovery Learning, Main-Group Elements, Molecular Properties/Structure, NMR Spectroscopy, Nucleophilic Substitution

ucleophilic substitution reactions are some of the most important reactions discussed in organic chemistry; however, these reactions are often covered before students are exposed to modern reaction monitoring and spectroscopy techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Consequently, students have limited tools to explore these fundamental reactions. Many reported nucleophilic substitution laboratory exercises rely on physical properties of the products, such as boiling point¹ or gas chromatography,² as a means to monitor product formation. A number of laboratory exercises make use of NMR spectroscopy to study bimolecular nucleophilic substitution $(S_N 2)$ reactions using a variety of nuclei including ¹H³ and ¹⁹F.⁴ However, the interpretation of the NMR spectra for many of these experiments may be beyond the ability of first-semester organic chemistry students.^{3c,e,f,h-j,4} These spectra can intimidate novice students and cause them to discount initially the incredible utility of NMR spectroscopy for solving complex problems.

Nucleophilic attack by phosphines on alkyl halides is a wellknown and reproducible reaction commonly seen in the synthesis of phosphonium salts.⁵ The formation of these phosphonium salts can be easily monitored using phosphorus-31 (³¹P) NMR spectroscopy by novice organic chemistry students. Both the starting phosphines and phosphonium salts products produce single peaks in decoupled ³¹P NMR spectroscopy that can be integrated to obtain product ratios. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometers with autotunable, multinuclear probes are commonly available and allow rapid access to ³¹P NMR and other heteronuclear NMR spectra. The ease of conducting these reactions and the simplicity of the resulting spectra make the study of nucleophilic attack by phosphines on alkyl halides an excellent experiment for undergraduate organic chemistry students.

SUMMARY OF PROCEDURE

The pedagogic goal for the experiment is to understand the effects of leaving group ability and steric hindrance on $S_{\rm N}2$ reactivity.

Prelab Assignment

Students rank and explain the reactivity of different phosphine nucleophiles (triphenylphosphine and tributylphosphine) in terms of electron richness.⁶ Alkyl halide electrophiles (1-chlorobutane, 2-chlorobutane, 1-bromobutane, 2-bromobutane, 1-iodobutane, and 2-iodobutane) in $S_N 2$ reactions are ranked in terms of steric hindrance and leaving group ability.

Lab Experiment

Students work in groups of two. The experiment takes one, 3 h laboratory period to complete. Students are presented with a selection of these same phosphine nucleophiles and alkyl chloride, bromide, and iodide electrophiles. Students groups are assigned a specific nucleophile/electrophile pair for their reaction. The phosphine nucleophile (1.0 mmol) is dissolved in acetonitrile (1.5 mL), and the alkyl halide electrophile (4.0 mmol) is added. The reaction mixture is heated to reflux for 30 min, cooled to room temperature, and diluted with methylene chloride (1.5 mL) to prevent the newly formed phosphonium salts from precipitating out of solution. An aliquot of the crude reaction mixture (approximately 0.7 mL) is used to obtain a single scan ³¹P NMR spectrum in less than 1 min without a workup step or expensive deuterated solvents.

To determine the ratio of products for their reaction, students use the integrations of the ³¹P peaks that correspond to phosphine, newly formed phosphonium salt, and phosphine oxide; the latter is a commonly occurring byproduct that results from the reaction of phosphines with molecular oxygen. The NMR data collected for all the reactions run by the class are compiled and used to compare the relative reactivities of the different nucleophiles and electrophiles.

HAZARDS

All reactants, products, and solvents must be handled in a manner consistent with the information available on their material safety data sheets. Eye protection and gloves must be worn at all times, all manipulations must be conducted in a fume hood, and all waste should be collected and disposed of according to safety regulations.

Triphenylphosphine and butyltriphenylphosphonium salts are toxic. Tetrabutylphosponium salts are toxic and corrosive. Tributylphosphine is toxic, corrosive, and flammable. Acetonitrile, 1-bromobutane, 1-iodobutane, and 2-iodobutane are toxic and flammable. 1-Chlorobutane, 2-chlorobutane, and 2bromobutane are flammable. Dichloromethane is an irritant and harmful by inhalation and if swallowed. Hazards for other phosphonium salt products are unknown, and students should assume that these products are toxic.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of these experiments were highly reproducible over six sections of 14-24 students. All students were able to



Figure 1. Student ³¹P NMR spectrum for the reaction of triphenylphosphine with 1-chlorobutane (Table 1, entry 1).



Figure 2. Student ³¹P NMR spectrum for the reaction of triphenylphosphine with 1-bromobutane (Table 1, entry 2).

complete this experiment in a single 3 h laboratory period, and most students were finished within 2 h.

Experiment

Student reactions produced a clear, colorless solution that formed a precipitate unless diluted with dichloromethane.



Figure 3. Student ³¹P NMR spectrum for the reaction of triphenylphosphine with 1-iodobutane (Table 1, entry 3).

Scheme 1. Reaction of Triphenylphosphine (1) with Primary and Secondary Alkyl Halides



Cooling the reactions stopped further conversion to products as the rate of these reactions at room temperature was not significant. Electron-rich phosphines, such as tributylphosphine, were especially prone to oxidation when heated in an open-air reaction.

NMR samples were made by removing an aliquot of the crude reaction mixture without concentration of the reaction mixture or the use of deuterated solvents. These NMR samples were sufficiently concentrated so that ³¹P NMR spectra could be generated with a single scan, which allowed each sample to be run in less than 1 min. This allowed students to complete these reactions and obtain NMR data in a single lab period. By using a single scan, integration values can be used to determine product/reactant ratios as differences in relaxation time were no longer a factor.

Spectra were referenced to residual starting phosphine or product phosphonium salts using chemical shift data provided in a student handout.⁷ The peaks of interest were then integrated and used to determine relative reaction rates.

The most common errors in this experiment occurred when students did not add the correct quantities of reagents or incorrectly timed the reaction period. ³¹P NMR spectra for reactions using tributylphosphine as a nucleophile showed the formation of additional phosphorus-containing side products. Fortunately, these additional product peaks were offset from the peaks of interest and excluded from further analysis. Students were, therefore, still able to assign and integrate the relevant peaks in the ³¹P NMR spectra and compare these data to data collected by their classmates.

Analysis

This experiment introduced undergraduate organic chemistry students to NMR spectroscopy as a modern reaction monitoring technique. Phosphorus-31 NMR spectroscopy was a particularly approachable form of NMR spectroscopy for undergraduate students as it illustrated the concepts of chemical shift and integration through easy-to-interpret ³¹P NMR spectra (Figures 1–3) before the additional complexity of spin–spin splitting seen in ¹H NMR spectroscopy is introduced.

Students initially investigated the effects of leaving group ability on $S_N 2$ reactivity using triphenylphosphine as a nucleophile (Scheme 1). As anticipated, electrophiles with larger, more polarizable halogens showed greater reactivity as

Table 1. Student Product (Composition for the	Reaction of Triphenylphosphi	ne (1) with Primary and	d Secondary Alkyl Halides
----------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------

Entry	Electrophile	Unreacted Phosphine (1)	Phosphonium Salt (2)
1	1-chlorobutane	100.0%	0.0%
2	1-bromobutane	74.9%	25.1%
3	1-iodobutane	7.0%	93.0%
4	2-chlorobutane	100.0%	0.0%
5	2-bromobutane	100.0%	0.0%
6	2-iodobutane	98.0%	2.0%

Scheme 2. Reaction of Triphenylphosphine (PPh_3) and Tributylphosphine (PBu_3) with 1- and 2-Bromobutane



 $S_N 2$ substrates (Table 1, entries 1–3). Students then investigated the role of increasing steric hindrance on the electrophile. Again, the reduced reactivity predicted by students for more substituted electrophiles was observed (Table 1, entries 4–6).

Students also investigated the role of the nucleophile on $S_N 2$ reactivity by reacting triphenylphosphine (PPh₃) and tributylphosphine (PBu₃) with primary and secondary substituted alkyl bromides (Scheme 2). They observed that the more electron-rich tributylphosphine was a better nucleophile than was the more electron-poor triphenylphosphine (Table 2, entries 1 and 2, 3 and 4).⁶ The observation that more hindered electrophiles led to reduced $S_N 2$ reactivity was reconfirmed through using both 1-bromobutane and 2-bromobutane in this screening (Table 2, entries 1 and 3, 2 and 4).

The pedagogic goal for the experiment was to understand the effects of leaving group ability and steric hindrance on $S_N 2$ reactivity. Achievement of this pedagogical goal was assessed using a guided lab report (Supporting Information). Over six laboratory sections, the average score earned on the guided lab report was a 92.7 \pm 3.7%, which demonstrates the anticipated student mastery.

SUMMARY

This laboratory exercise taught students to use the important NMR concepts of chemical shift and integration through highly approachable ³¹P NMR spectra. Students were readily able to use the ³¹P NMR data generated to investigate the effects of leaving group ability and steric hindrance on S_N2 reactivity. After this experiment, students were able to predict S_N2 reactivity better not only in reactions using phosphine nucleophiles and alkyl halide electrophiles, but also in reactions using a variety of reagents. This introduction to NMR spectroscopy provided a valuable foundation for later discussions of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Student exit

surveys for this modern and innovative laboratory exercise have been highly positive.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Handouts for students, notes for instructor, student ³¹P NMR spectra, and guided lab report. This material is available via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: psibbald@stetson.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was funded in part by a National Science Foundation Transforming Undergraduate Education in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (TUES) grant (Grant No. 1044067) for the development of a cohesive series of new ³¹P NMR laboratory experiments and exercises that span general chemistry and the five "foundations" areas of chemistry (organic, inorganic, physical, analytical, and biochemistry). Stetson University is also acknowledged for their financial support. The author thanks John York for numerous productive discussions regarding this project.

REFERENCES

(1) Mohrig, J. R.; Hammond, C. N.; Schatz, P. F.; Morrill, T. C. Modern Projects and Experiments in Organic Chemistry: Miniscale and Williamson Microscale, 4th ed.; W. H. Freeman and Company: New York, 2003; pp 72–76.

(2) (a) Warren, H. W.; Newton, T. A. Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions: Modifications and an Extension. J. Chem. Educ. **1980**, 57 (10), 747. (b) Horowitz, G. A Safer, Discovery-Based Nucleophilic Substitution Experiment. J. Chem. Educ. **2009**, 86 (3), 363–364. (c) Valentín, E. M.; Montes, I.; Adam, W. Counterion Effects in the Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions of the Acetate Ion with Alkyl Bromides in the Synthesis of Esters. J. Chem. Educ. **2009**, 86 (11), 1315–1318.

(3) (a) Williamson, K. L. Macroscale and Microscale Organic Experiments, 4th ed.; Houghton Mifflin Company: New York, 2003; pp 259–266. (b) Jantzi, K. L.; Wiltrakis, S.; Wolf, L.; Weber, A.; Cardinal, J.; Krieter, K. The Contrasting Alkylations of 4-

Table 2. Student Product Composition for the Reaction of Triphenylphosphine (PPh₃) and Tributylphosphine (PBu₃) with 1and 2-Bromobutane

Entry	Reaction	Unreacted Phosphine (3)	Phosphonium Salt (4)	Phosphine Oxide
1	1-bromobutane/PPh ₃	74.9%	25.1%	0.0%
2	1-bromobutane/PBu ₃	0.0%	81.8%	18.2%
3	2-bromobutane/PPh ₃	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	2-bromobutane/PBu ₃	52.0%	12.6%	35.4%

Journal of Chemical Education

(Dimethylaminomethyl)pyridine and 4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine: An Organic Chemistry Experiment. J. Chem. Educ. 2011, 88 (3), 328-330. (c) Stabile, R. G.; Dicks, A. P. Semi-Microscale Williamson Ether Synthesis and Simultaneous Isolation of an Expectorant from Cough Tablets. J. Chem. Educ. 2003, 80 (3), 313-315. (d) Hahn, R. C. Conversion of an Alkyl Chloride to a Bromide (or Vice-Versa) by Homogeneous Nucleophile Exchange. J. Chem. Educ. 1997, 74 (7), 836-838. (e) Norris, P.; Freeze, S.; Gabriel, C. J. Synthesis of a Partially Protected Azidodeoxy Sugar. A Project Suitable for the Advanced Undergraduate Organic Chemistry Laboratory. J. Chem. Educ. 2001, 78 (1), 75-76. (f) Esteb, J. J.; Magers, J. R.; McNulty, L.; Morgan, P.; Wilson, A. M. A Simple S_N2 Reaction for the Undergraduate Organic Laboratory. J. Chem. Educ. 2009, 86 (7), 850-852. (g) Pavia, D. L.; Lampman, G. M.; Kriz, G. S.; Engel, R. G. A Micoscale Approach to Organic Laboratory Techniques, 5th ed.; Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning: Balmont, CA, 2013; pp 191-199. (h) Mayo, D. W.; Pike, R. M.; Trumper, P. K. Microscale Organic Laboratory with Multistep and Multiscale Syntheses, 4th ed.; Wiley: New York, 2000; pp 209-301. (i) Van Draanen, N. A.; Hengst, S. The Conversion of L-Phenylalanine to (S)-2-Hydroxy-3-phenylpropanoic Acid: A Simple, Visual Example of a Stereospecific S_N2 Reaction. J. Chem. Educ. 2010, 87 (6), 623-624. (j) Adesoye, O. G.; Mills, I. N.; Temelkoff, D. P.; Jackson, J. A.; Norris, P. Synthesis of a D-Glucopyranosyl Azide: Spectroscopic Evidence for Stereochemical Inversion in the SN2 Reaction. J. Chem. Educ. 2012, 89 (7), 943-945. (4) deMendonca, D. J.; Digits, C. A.; Navin, E. W.; Sanders, T. C.;

Hammond, G. B. A Simple Fluorination Experiment of Cinnamyl Alcohol. J. Chem. Educ. 1995, 72 (8), 736–739.

(5) Kolodiazhnyi, O. I. Phosphorus Ylides: Chemistry and Applications in Organic Synthesis, 1st ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1999; pp 11–26.

(6) (a) Suresh, C. H.; Koga, N. Quantifying the Electronic Effect of Substituted Phosphine Ligands via Molecular Electrostatic Potential. *Inorg. Chem.* **2002**, *41* (6), 1573–1578. (b) Suresh, C. H. Molecular Electrostatic Potential Approach To Determining the Steric Effect of Phosphine Ligands in Organometallic Chemistry. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45* (13), 4982–4986.

(7) Refer to Supporting Information on instructions and sample ${}^{31}P$ NMR spectra using a 95% H₃PO₄ internal standard.